arXiv:cond-mat/9305011v1 14 May 1993

Two-Dimensional Vortex Lattice Melting

Jun Hu and A.H. MacDonald

Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We report on a Monte-Carlo study of two-dimensional Ginzburg-Landau superconductors in a magnetic field which finds clear evidence for a first-order phase transition characterized by broken translational symmetry of the superfluid density. A key aspect of our study is the introduction of a quantity proportional to the Fourier transform of the superfluid density which can be sampled efficiently in Landau gauge Monte-Carlo simulations and which satisfies a useful sum rule. We estimate the latent heat per vortex of the melting transition to be $\sim 0.38 k_B T_M$ where T_M is the melting temperature.

74.60Ec;74.75.+t

Typeset using REVTEX

In mean-field theory type II Ginzburg-Landau superconductors in a magnetic field have an unusual second-order phase transition. In the low-temperature ($T < T_c^{MF}$) phase discovered by Abrikosov [1] the zeros of the superconducting order parameter (vortices) form a lattice and the system exhibits both broken translational symmetry and off-diagonal long-range order (ODLRO). Unusual aspects of the transition are related to the Cooper-pair Landau level structure [2] which causes the mean field instability of the disordered phase to occur simultaneously at T_c^{MF} in a macroscopic number of channels. However, the nature of this phase transition is qualitatively altered by thermal fluctuations. Interest in the effect of thermal fluctuations on the thermodynamic properties of type II superconductors has increased since the discovery of high-temperature superconductors which have an unusually short coherence length so that fluctuation effects are important over a relatively wide temperature interval surrounding T_c^{MF}.

For D dimensional superconductors fluctuations in a magnetic field at temperatures well above T_c^{MF}, where different channels are independent, are like those of a $D-2$ dimensional system [3] at zero magnetic field suggesting that the mean-field phase transition to the Abrikosov state will be destroyed by fluctuations for $D < 4$. High-temperature perturbative expansions [4,5], even when evaluated to high-order where coupling between different channels becomes important, show no evidence of a transition for $D = 3$ or $D = 2$ between the high-temperature fluid state and Abrikosov's vortex-lattice state. The results of Monte-Carlo simulations for $D = 2$ have been controversial. Tešanović and Xing [6] and Kato and Nagaosa [7] find evidence for a phase transition at a temperature below T_c^{MF} while O'Neill and Moore [8] have concluded that the Abrikosov phase transition is suppressed by thermal fluctuations. In this letter we present [9] the results of a Monte-Carlo simulation for $D = 2$ in which we find unambiguous evidence for a first-order phase transition.

The free energy density of a Ginzburg-Landau superconductor is given by

$$f[\Psi] = \alpha(T)|\Psi|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}|\Psi|^4 + \frac{1}{2m^*}|(-i\hbar\nabla - 2e\vec{A})\Psi|^2. \tag{1}$$

($F \equiv \int d^2\vec{r} f[\Psi(\vec{r})]$.) The quadratic terms in Eq. (1) are minimized by order-parameters which correspond to a lowest Landau level (LLL) wavefunction for the Cooper pairs. It follows that the mean-field theory superconducting instability occurs at T_c^{MF} ($\alpha_H(T_c^{MF}) = 0; \alpha_H = \alpha + \hbar eB/m^*$) for all Cooper pair states which are in the LLL but only at much lower temperatures for channels corresponding to higher Landau level Cooper pair wavefunctions. In this work we adopt the LLL approximation in which we assume that fluctuations in higher Landau level channels can be neglected [6,10] and consider only the two dimensional limit where variations of the order parameter along the $\hat{z}$ direction can be neglected. In the LLL approximation the order parameter is defined up to an overall scale factor by its zeros, i.e. by the positions of the vortices. (This property has been used by Tešanović and collaborators [6,12] to develop many useful insights.) This limit applies to films thinner than a coherence length and to layered systems when the inter-layer coupling can be neglected. We choose the Landau gauge ($\vec{A} = (0, Bx, 0)$) and apply quasi-periodic boundary conditions to the order parameter inside a finite system with lengths L_x and L_y. (For thin films, especially those formed of strongly type II materials it is a good approximation to ignore fluctuations in the vector potential $\vec{A}$.) The order parameter $\Psi(\vec{r})$ can then be expanded in the form,

$$\Psi(\vec{r}) = (\frac{|\alpha_H| \pi \ell^2 L_z}{\beta})^{1/2} \sum_j C_j [\sum_s (L_y L_z)^{-1/2} (\pi \ell^2)^{-1/4} \exp(iyX_{j,s}/\ell^2) \exp(-(x - X_{j,s})^2/4\ell^2)] \tag{2}$$

In Eq. (2) $X_{j,s} = j2\pi\ell^2/L_y + sL_x$, $\ell^2 = \hbar c/2eB$, s runs over all integers and j runs from 1 to $N_\phi = L_x L_y/(2\pi\ell^2)$ which must be chosen to be an integer.

A central role in our study is played by the superfluid-density spatial correlation function, whose Fourier transform is defined by

$$\chi_{SFD}(\vec{k}) \equiv \frac{1}{L_x L_y} \int d^2\vec{r} \int d^2\vec{r'} \langle |\psi(\vec{r})|^2 |\psi(\vec{r'}|^2 \rangle \exp[i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r'})] \tag{3}$$

We evaluate $\chi_{SFD}(\vec{k})$ by expressing it in terms of

$$\Delta(\vec{k}) \equiv \frac{1}{N_\phi} \sum_{j_1 j_2} \bar{C}_{j_1} C_{j_2} \delta_{j_2 - j_1 - n_y} \exp[-ik_x (X_{j_1} + X_{j_2})/2] \tag{4}$$

where for a finite system $\vec{k} = 2\pi(n_x/L_x, n_y/L_y)$, $\delta_j = 1$ if j is a multiple of N_ϕ and is zero otherwise, and $X_j \equiv X_{j,0}$. (Note that $\Delta_0 \equiv \Delta(\vec{k} = 0)$ is proportional to the integrated superfluid density.) $\Delta(\vec{k})$ is conveniently sampled in our Landau gauge Monte Carlo simulations and

$$\chi_{SFD}(\vec{k}) = \frac{N_\phi^2}{L_x L_y}(\frac{\alpha_H \pi \ell^2 L_z}{\beta})^2 \exp[-k^2\ell^2/2]\langle|\Delta(\vec{k})|^2\rangle \tag{5}$$

Moreover $\Delta(\vec{k})$ satisfies the following sum rule for each configuration of the Ginzburg-Landau system,

$$\frac{1}{N_\phi}\sum_{\vec{k}}[|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k})|^2 - 1/N_\phi] = 0 \tag{6}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k}) \equiv \Delta(\vec{k})/\Delta_0$. Note that $\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k})$ depends only on the distribution of $|\Psi(\vec{r})|^2$ and not on its overall magnitude. Eq. (6) reflects the LLL restrictions on the superfluid density distribution. (For a finite system, both n_x and n_y in the sum over $\vec{k}$ in Eq. (6) range over any N_ϕ consecutive values.)

In the vortex-liquid state $\chi_{SFD}(\vec{k})$ should be a smooth function of wavevector and if the sum over $\vec{k}$ in Eq. (6) is to converge we must have $\lim_{|k|\to\infty}|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k})|^2 \to N_\phi^{-1}$ and hence that

$$\lim_{|k|\to\infty}\chi_{SFD}(\vec{k}) = \frac{\Delta_0^2\alpha_H L_z}{2\beta}\exp[-k^2\ell^2/2] \tag{7}$$

It is readily verified [11] that Eq. (7) is satisfied for all $\vec{k} \neq 0$ when $T \gg T_c^{MF}$ and the vortex fluid is completely uncorrelated. On the other hand, in a vortex-lattice state $\Delta(\vec{k}) = \Delta_0\delta_{\vec{k},\vec{G}}$ where $\vec{G}$ is a reciprocal lattice vector. To see that Eq. (6) is satisfied in this case note that there are N_ϕ wavevectors per Brioullin zone in the Abrikosov state. Eq. (6) tells us that averages of $|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k})|^2$ over large areas of reciprocal space yield N_ϕ^{-1} irrespective of the degree of correlation among the vortices. $N_\phi\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k})|^2\rangle - 1$ provides a very convenient measure of the degree of vortex correlation in a system.

We can express the Ginzburg-Landau free energy in terms of $|\Delta(\vec{k})|^2$ as follows

$$\int\frac{f[\Psi]}{k_BT}d\vec{r} \equiv \frac{E_\beta(\beta,\Delta_0)}{k_BT} = N_\phi g^2[\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha_{\mathrm{H}})\Delta_0 + \frac{\beta[\tilde{\Delta}]\Delta_0^2}{4}] \tag{8}$$

where

$$\beta[\tilde{\Delta}] \equiv \sum_{\vec{k}}|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k})|^2\exp[-\frac{k^2l^2}{2}]. \tag{9}$$

and $g \equiv \alpha_H(\pi\ell^2 L_z/\beta K_B T)^{1/2}$. $\beta[\tilde{\Delta}]$ has its minimum value in the Abrikosov state and increases as the vortex positions become less correlated. It is readily verified that in the uncorrelated vortex fluid $\beta[\tilde{\Delta}] = 2$ while for the triangular lattice Abrikosov state $\beta[\tilde{\Delta}] = \beta_A \sim 1.159595$. (This relatively weak variation in β was exploited recently [12] by Tešanović *et al.*.) We regard $\beta[\tilde{\Delta}]$ and Δ_0 as the two intensive thermodynamic variables which characterize the state of the LLL Ginzburg-Landau system. We can define an entropy which measures the function-space volume associated with a given $\beta[\tilde{\Delta}]$ and Δ_0 by $S_\beta(\beta, \Delta_0) \equiv k_B \ln(W(\beta, \Delta_0))$ where

$$W(\beta, \Delta_0) \equiv (\frac{|\alpha_H|\pi\ell^2 L_z}{\beta})^{N_\phi} \prod_j \int d\bar{C}_j dC_j \delta(\beta - \beta[\tilde{\Delta}])\delta(\Delta_0 - \sum_j \bar{C}_j C_j) \tag{10}$$

With this definition the free energy, β and Δ_0 at any value of g can be determined by minimizing

$$F_\beta(\beta, \Delta_0) \equiv E_\beta(\beta, \Delta_0) - TS(\beta, \Delta_0) \tag{11}$$

with respect to β and Δ_0. (F_β is extensive so fluctuations become negligible in the thermodynamic limit.) We will use Eq. (11) to interpret the Monte Carlo results discussed below.

Using the Metropolis algorithm we have determined distribution functions for several quantities [15] including E_β, Δ_0, $\beta[\tilde{\Delta}]$, and $|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k})|^2$ as a function of both g and N_ϕ. Finite system shapes have been chosen to accommodate perfect triangular lattices. For all simulations the order parameter was initialized to the Abrikosov lattice value and the first 10^4 Monte Carlo steps were discarded. Some typical results for $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{k})|^2\rangle$ at $T < T_c^{MF}$ are shown in Fig. (1). At $g^2 = 30$ the vortex fluid has developed strong correlations. For $N_\phi = 120$, $N_\phi\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2\rangle \sim 3$ which is three times larger than for the high-temperature uncorrelated flux-fluid but still ~ 40 times smaller than its mean field value. For $g^2 = 50$, $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2\rangle$ has increased to more than half its mean field value. The insets in Fig. (1) show the dependence of $|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2$ on system size for these two values of g^2. For $g^2 = 30$, $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2\rangle \sim N_\phi^{-1.0}$ as expected in the fluid state while for $g^2 = 50$, $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2\rangle \sim N_\phi^{-0.13}$, consistent with the quasi-long-range order expected in the Abrikosov state. Fig. (2) shows that for a given system size

$\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2\rangle$ increases relatively abruptly at $g^2 \sim 42.5$ suggesting the occurrence of a phase transition.

To examine this possibility and to determine the order of the phase transition we have examined the dependence of the energy distribution function [13,14] on system size for $g^2 \sim 43$ and $N_\phi = 80, 100, 120, 144, 168$. The results are shown in Fig. (3). For each systems size the number of Monte Carlo steps required to determine these distribution functions accurately exceeded 8×10^6. For $N_\phi > 100$ a double peak structure indicative of a first-order phase transition is clearly visible. For each N_ϕ the adjusted [13,14] distribution function at the value of g^2 where the peaks have equal height is plotted. By extrapolating these values of g^2 to $N_\phi = \infty$ as shown in the inset we estimate that a first order phase transition occurs at $g^2 = g_M^2 = 43.5 \pm 1.0$. By comparing the separations between the peak positions we estimate that the latent heat per flux quantum associated with the transition is $\sim 0.01 k_B T g_M^2/\beta_A \sim 0.38 k_B T$. In Fig. (3b) we compares the $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2\rangle$ distribution from values of the order parameter with high-energies with that from low-energies for $N_\phi = 168$. For high-energy configurations the $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2\rangle$ is $\sim 5.0 N_\phi^{-1}$ while for the low-energy configurations the distribution is peaked at ~ 0.5 demonstrating that the phase transition occurs between a high-energy strongly correlated vortex fluid state and a low-energy Abrikosov state.

In Fig. (4a) we show distribution functions for $\beta(\tilde{\Delta})$ at several fixed values of Δ_0 and in Fig. (4b) we show distribution functions for Δ_0 at several fixed values of $\beta(\tilde{\Delta})$ for $\beta(\tilde{\Delta})$ and Δ_0 near the values at which the phase transition takes place. The $\beta(\tilde{\Delta})$ distribution function is proportional to $\exp[(S_\beta(\beta, \Delta_0)/K_B - \beta N_\phi g^2 \Delta_0^2/4]$. (See Eq. (11). At extrema of the distribution $\partial S_\beta/\partial\beta = K_B^{-1} N_\phi g^2 \Delta_0^2/4$.) The double peak structure apparent in the $\beta(\tilde{\Delta})$ distribution demonstrates that the phase transition is driven by the S_β term which describes the dependence of the volume in order parameter space on the degree of correlation in vortex positions. No similar double peak structure is seen in the Δ_0 distribution confirming that the phase transition is associated primarily with spatial correlations in vortex positions and hence in the superfluid density rather than with changes in the magnitude of the superconducting order parameter.

This work was supported by the Midwest Superconductivity Consortium through D.O.E. grant no. DE-FG-02-90ER45427. The authors are grateful to D.P. Arovas and Kieran Mullen for help getting started and to S.M. Girvin for frequent useful advice. AHM acknowledges instructive conversations with D.A. Huse, D.R. Nelson, and Zlatko Tešanović.

REFERENCES

[1] A.A. Abrikosov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **32**, 1442 (1957).

[2] A.H. MacDonald, H. Akera, and M.R. Norman, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 10147 (1992).

[3] P.A. Lee and S.R. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **28**, 1025 (1972).

[4] D.J. Thouless, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 946 (1975). G. J. Ruggeri and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. F **6**, 2063 (1976).

[5] E. Brézin, A. Fujita, and S. Hikami, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1949 (1990); S. Hikami, A. Fujita, and A. I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 10400 (1991).

[6] Zlatko. Tešanović and L. Xing, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2729 (1991).

[7] Yusuke Kato and Naoto Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B. **47**, 2932 (1993).

[8] J. A. O'Neil and M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2582 (1992).

[9] Preliminary reports on these calculations have been presented previously: Jun Hu and A.H. MacDonald, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **38**, 635 (1993).

[10] If the melting transition occurs far enough from T_c^{MF} the LLL approximation becomes invalid and the 'London-limit' theory may apply. See for example A. Houghton, R.A. Pelcovits, and A. Sudbo, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 6763 (1989).

[11] For a discussion of the high-temperature perturbation expansion for $\chi_{SFD}(\vec{k})$ see Jun Hu and A.H. MacDonald, submitted to Phys. Rev. B (1993).

[12] Zlatko Tešanović, Lei Xing, Lev Bulaevskii, Quiang Li, and M. Suenga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3563 (1992).

[13] J. Lee and J. M. Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 137 (1990).

[14] A. M. Ferrenberg and R. H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2635 (1988).

[15] We plan to publish further details of our results later. Jun Hu and A.H. MacDonald, in preparation (1993).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{q}_x)|^2\rangle$ at $g^2 = 30$ (a) and $g^2 = 50$ (b) for a finite system with $N_\phi = 120$. ($q_y = 0$ and $T < T_c^{MF}$.) The insets show the dependences of $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2\rangle$ on system size at these g^2 values. The dashed lines in the inset of (a) is proportional to $N_\phi^{-1.0}$ while that in the inset of (b) is proportional to $N_\phi^{-0.13}$. ($\vec{G}$ is a member of the first shell of reciprocal lattice vectors of the Abrikosov lattice.) These averages were obtained from $1 \sim 2 \times 10^6$ Monte-Carlo steps.

FIG. 2. Dependence of $\langle|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{q})|^2\rangle$ on g^2 at $N_\phi = 120$ for $\vec{q} = \vec{G}$ and for $\vec{q} \neq \vec{G}$ where $\vec{G}$ is a reciprocal lattice vector of the Abrikosov lattice.

FIG. 3. (a): Landau-Ginzburg energy distribution function at the finite system phase transition point for various system sizes. Energies are in units of the mean-field condensation energy, $N_\phi k_B T g^2/\beta_A$. The ratio of the peak heights to the intermediate minimum grows with system size but for the sizes we are able to study does not yet show the $\exp[cN_\phi^{1/2}]$ behavior expected at large N_ϕ. The inset shows the dependence of the g^2 at the phase transition on system size. (b): low-energy and high-energy cuts of the distribution function for $|\tilde{\Delta}(\vec{G})|^2$.

FIG. 4. Distribution functions of (a) $\beta[\tilde{\Delta}]$ for several values of Δ_0 and of (b) Δ_0 for several values of $\beta[\tilde{\Delta}]$.